| | Case 3:10-cv-00480-LRH-WGC Document 40 Filed 05/17/12 Page 1 of 2 |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 7 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA |
| 8 | |
| 9 | MICHAEL ROBERT PERSON,) |
| 10 | Petitioner, 3:10-cv-00480-ECR-WGC |
| 11 | vs. ORDER |
| 12 | DWIGHT NEVEN, et al., |
| 13 | Respondents. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | This action is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a |
| 16 | Nevada state prisoner who is represented by counsel. On March 14, 2012, this Court granted |
| 17 | petitioner's motion to file an amended complaint and set deadlines for the filing of the amended |
| 18 | complaint, the filing of an answer, and the filing of a reply. (ECF No. 34). |
| 19 | Through counsel, petitioner filed an amended complaint on March 29, 2012. (ECF No. 36). |
| 20 | Respondents' answer to the amended petition was to be filed within forty-five days from the date of |
| 21 | being served with the same. (ECF No. 34, at p. 3). To date, respondents have not filed an answer to |
| 22 | the amended petition. |
| 23 | Respondents have, however, filed two motions. First, respondents filed a motion to file a late |
| 24 | pleading. (ECF No. 37). In the declaration attached to the motion to file a late pleading, counsel for |
| 25 | respondents explains that an error occurred with their office's scheduling system, causing counsel to |
| 26 | |

Case 3:10-cv-00480-LRH-WGC Document 40 Filed 05/17/12 Page 2 of 2